

Name and mailing address of the ISA / US <i>[Handwritten signature]</i>	Date of completion of this opinion 11 January 2006 (11.01.2006)	Authorized officer Lantion Demille
P.O. Box 1450 Commissioneer voor Patents Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 272-3700	Telephone No. (571) 273-3201	

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the TPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the TPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("TPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses another authority other than the chosen TPEA and the chosen TPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

2. FURTHER ACTION

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I Basis of the opinion
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II Priority
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI Certain documents cited
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

REABILITY INC.

Applicant

TPC(7): A61H 1/02 and US Cl.: 601/5, 33

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

PCT/IL05/00140

International filing date (day/month/year) 04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)

Priority date (day/month/year) 05 February 2004 (05.02.2004)

414/04404

Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below

FOR FURTHER ACTION Date of mailing (day/month/year) 03 FEB 2006

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

P.AUL FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLIGUAL PROPERTY LTD P.O. BOX 10256 49002 PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

Filed together with the international application in electronic form

contained in the international application as filed.

c. time of filing/furnishing

in electronic form

on paper

b. format of material

table(s) related to the sequence listing

a sequence listing

a. type of material

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

the international application in the language in which it was filed

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

Box No. 1 Basis of this opinion

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT/IL05/00140

International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:

the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-8

all parts.

See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/210)

3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention is accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is not complied with for the following reasons:

not completed with

completed with

2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.

paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid

paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee was not paid

paid additional fees

1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:

paid additional fees

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL05/00140

Claims 1-8 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Claims 1-8 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Brandomson. As broadly claimed, Brandomson teaches a frame 10, an actuator 12 that includes a movement mechanism capable of applying a force that interacts with a motion of the patient's limb in a volume of at least 30 cm. The program in which selected to perform an exercise routine would prevent substantial motion in any point in any direction that is not in the desired exercise routine. A joint 34 allows multiple relative placements of the end effector. The device includes at least one sensor 36 to report the location of the joint.

2. Citations and explanations:

YES	Claims 1-8	Industrial applicability (IA)
NO	Claims NONE	

YES	Claims NONE	Inventive step (IS)
NO	Claims 1-8	

YES	Claims NONE	Novelty (N)
NO	Claims 1-8	

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below), under "Statement under Article 19(1)".

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

Letter (Section 205(b)):

What documents may accompany the amendments?

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

All the claims appearing in a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

A replacement sheet differs from the sheet originally filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendment by cancelling one or more entire claims, includes one or more new claims or by amending the text of

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been filed, see below.

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46(2)).

Where not to file the amendments?

When? Within 2 months from the date of transmission of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received at the time it they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46(1)).

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28

under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority (the International Preliminary Examining Authority, The description and drawings may only be amended during the international preliminary examination and drawings may also be amended under Article 34 before

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended

What parts of the international application may be amended?

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination (claims, description and drawings) may also be amended under Article 19, except where another reason for amending the claims before international publication is available, i.e., the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisions under Article 19 specifying that prior to publication is available in some States only.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

In these Notes, "Article," "Section," and "Rule" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under Article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.